Elissa Brown, President, ANA/California

Hi, all, and Happy Spring!

As you may already suspect, there are many exciting changes in health care and nursing. It is important to stay current with what is happening, since the changes affect nurses and care for the public. The best sources of information are ANA members and specialty organizations. Do plan in the next year, to join and/or maintain your membership and participate in something, in some way. Those who are involved carry the weight for all of us. If you are only able to pay your dues, that is, too, is involvement, and helps your professional nurse colleagues to do the necessary legislative, practice, and education work to promote positive progress for Nursing and healthcare.

My hope for nurses is that you are involved in making a difference—right in the community in the political and legislative arenas, that you truly love your profession. It makes it so much easier to do the caring work needed. A favorite quote, most relevant for nurses is: “What you do, what you say, what you are, may help others in ways you never know. Your influence, like your shadow, extends where you may never be.”

I believe that Nurses should see themselves as those who keep the “caring” in healthcare—24 hours a day. What is happening in healthcare? Most of you have received much information about the Robert Wood Johnson Initiative on the Future of Nursing (INF), at the IOM. A number of our ANA members and ANA members attended the forums around the country and state; some of whom presented testimony that was incorporated into the report. Our American Nurses Association President, Karen Daley, wrote an excellent article about this in the latest American Nurse Today journal. For your information, Daley’s article continued with the issues-based on the above recommendations, which will be addressed in redesigning the healthcare system: –quality care accessible to diverse populations –wellness and disease prevention –reliably improved health outcomes –compassionate care across the lifespan –diverse needs of the changing patient population

What can nurses in California do? There are and will be new chances to get involved. Statewide and local RACs, regional action coalitions, are already working together to transform and clarify the role of the nurse in healthcare and to increase access to high quality healthcare. Nurses are leading the way and ANA has strong representative leadership in the RACs.

Other issues: ongoing concerns with Health Care Reform: as positions in government and coalitions open for RNs—we shall keep you updated. Please do check the American Nurses Association/California website and the American Nurses Association website: www.nursingworld.org for the latest information about healthcare issues, healthcare reform and professional nursing issues. You can also check the video links, including one to: “Nurses Have Power: Let’s Use It For Change.”

As Registered Nurses we continue to be a significant “caring” force in healthcare. We need to continue to be involved; to take advantage of opportunities through work, community and political involvement at local, state and national-and even international levels.

About the ANA Constituent Assembly: I continue as a member of the Executive Committee of the ANA Constituent Assembly (CA; the group of the Presidents and Executive Directors of all of the states plus a number of other constituents). We meet at least twice a year, sometimes “virtually” (via web technology). The CMAs also meet on calls with the ANA President. I shall keep you updated on issues that we address on behalf of ANA California, other states and ANA.

Thank you again, to our ANAC/California Board members and staff who persist on working hard, promoting quality healthcare for the public, participating in healthcare reform and supporting the Nursing profession and the association.

We invite you to plan to attend the following (please see our website):

* National Nursing Ethics Conference, Advocacy–Making a Difference for Patients, March 24th and 25th, 2011, by ANA and Ethics of Caring, at the Universal Hilton, Universal City, CA
* ANAC RN Lobby Day, April 4th, 2011, in Sacramento, CA
* ANAC General Assembly, Saturday, October 29th, 2011, at West Coast University, Ontario, CA

Please send us any comments, suggestions and questions.

Elissa Brown, President, ANA/California
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Does Magnet Make a Difference?

Tricia Hunter

I had the “opportunity” to have surgery in two magnet hospitals in San Diego since November. When I scheduled myself for surgery, I am sure I went through the same trepidation most operating room nurses go through before participating in the same arena we have worked in! We know too much!

I have also had the experience of dealing with family and friends in the hospital and most of those experiences have been good but I can tell stories as can probably everyone else.

My first experience was at Scripps Memorial where I had a laparoscopy for a Lap Band. My anxiety was telling because my blood pressure gave me away. Though this was only a 24 hour stay, I was impressed with the staffing ratios and the positive attitude of the staff starting with the admitting clerk who met us as we entered the system. Even the housekeepers introduced themselves. The nurses were responsive and seemed to enjoy their work. I believe the positive experience for the nurses in their workplace makes a positive experience for the patient.

I spent a longer hospital stay at Sharp Memorial Hospital and Sharp Memorial Orthopedic Rehabilitation Center. I had bilateral total knees done December 14, 2010. I was so impressed when I was contacted by Registered Nurses from the preoperative center for prescreening and admitting to the hospital. I also was contacted by an Orthopedic Clinical Nurse Specialist about the complete hospital experience. Both these visits made my hospital admittance smooth and unstressful. My blood pressure was normal while waiting for surgery! The nurses, who provided my care in the hospital and the rehabilitation center were thorough, positive and caring. There was never an issue of someone being available to provide me with pain medication or getting out of the bed.

I have not had surgery in awhile, but a change I experienced with both hospitals was the operating room nurse introducing the whole surgical team when I was taken into the suite. The operating room nurse also came out to introduce herself in the preoperative area. The operating room can be scary for any patient, even one who knows what goes on. These two steps made my experience less stressful.

The staffing was appropriate at both hospitals. I never felt I was deserted as I have experience with family members in other hospitals. I never felt I was imposing on someone when I needed help or medication. A nurses aid at Sharp Orthopedic Rehabilitation “fit” me in for a shower on a busy day shift on the day I was going home. This type of concern, caring and help is something that cannot be fabricated. It was the atmosphere of the system and was really appreciated by this patient.

There is a lot of individual pride for a hospital to successfully be labeled a magnet hospital. It takes the whole team to make this happen. Congratulations to Sharp Memorial and Scripps Memorial for not only succeeding but making it a part of your culture.
Regional Focus Groups on Health Workforce Development

“Preparing the Health Workforce for Federal Health Care Reform”

Background

Due to California's size, and the diversity of its geography and population, the accessibility and availability of health care services differs greatly from region to region. Because of these regional nuances, strategies to develop the health workforce needed in a given area must be based on a thorough understanding of the region, the characteristics of its population and the current make up of its health care delivery system. Additionally, the implementation of the Federal Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA) will profoundly change the health delivery system and in turn, will result in significant health workforce development needs.

To better understand these regional health care delivery systems, their related workforce development needs and how these will be affected by the implementation of the ACA, the California Workforce Investment Board (State Board) and the Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development (OSHPD) will be hosting nine regional meetings throughout California. Each regional meeting will offer leaders from the area opportunities to consider how the ACA will affect their region’s health delivery systems, and discuss new models of care that would be beneficial to the region, the region’s health workforce needs, the availability of education and training opportunities for health care occupations, and explore partnerships and priorities that are critical to ensure access to quality health care for the region's residents.

The State Board and OSHPD have engaged the Health Workforce Development Council (Council) to provide guidance and recommendations on the locations for regional focus groups which will be convened to gather information critical to the issues listed above. In addition to advising on the location of the focus groups, the Council provided input on the types of organizations to be invited, names of area leaders to include, and the structure of the questions to be asked during these sessions. Ultimately, the information and recommendations coming from the regional focus groups will be considered by the Council as it works to fulfill the expectations of the Health Workforce Planning Grant and begins to chart the path for workforce development planning associated with the implementation of the ACA in California.

Objective

The State Board and OSHPD will convene a cross-section of healthcare stakeholders in nine areas throughout California. These meetings will be conducted as focus groups and will include employers, workforce development professionals, advocacy and professional associations, researchers, educators and regional leaders. The meetings constitute a beginning dialogue on regional workforce development challenges and opportunities presented by the ACA.

The regional focus groups will serve three primary functions:

- Learn from health care employers what the State can do to assist them in training, recruiting, utilizing and retaining the quality health care workforce which will be required under the ACA.
- Assist the Health Workforce Development Council, the State Board, and OSHPD in fulfilling the planning objectives to be achieved under the HRSA-funded Health Workforce Planning Grant, and lay the groundwork for the articulation of health workforce development strategies that can become part of California’s implementation plan.
- Establish a foundation for, or enhance existing regional partnerships aimed at improving alignment of existing health workforce development activities and identifying new activities needed, particularly in response to the ACA
- Position California as a strong applicant for the federal Health Workforce Development Implementation Grant and to be a national leader in the implementation of ACA.

Focus Groups Locations

Focus Groups will be held throughout the state in order to ensure a broad stakeholder group is tapped for insight. Nine general segments of the state have been identified as locations for the meetings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regional Area (Counties)</th>
<th>Meeting Date &amp; Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Meeting Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bay Area</td>
<td>Wednesday February 2, 2011 9:30 a.m.-3:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td>Hilton Oakland Airport 1 Hegenberger Road Oakland, CA 94621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Central Valley</td>
<td>Thursday February 10, 2011 9:30 a.m.-3:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Fresno</td>
<td>Radisson Hotel &amp; Conference Center 2233 Ventura Street Fresno, CA 93721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>Tuesday February 15, 2011 9:30 a.m.-3:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Redding</td>
<td>Hilton Garden Inn 5050 Bechelli Lane Redding, CA 96002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Coast</td>
<td>Wednesday February 16, 2011 10:30 a.m.-4:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Ukiah</td>
<td>Hampton Inn Ukiah 1160 Airport Park Boulevard Ukiah, CA 95482 (707) 462-6555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego/Imperial</td>
<td>Tuesday February 22, 2011 9:30 a.m.-3:00 p.m.</td>
<td>El Centro</td>
<td>Fairfield Inn &amp; Suites Marriott 503 Danenburg Drive El Centro, CA 92243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>Tuesday March 1, 2011 9:30 a.m.-3:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Oxnard</td>
<td>Courtyard Oxnard Ventura 600 E Esplanade Drive Oxnard, CA 93036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles/Orange</td>
<td>Wednesday March 2, 2011 9:30 a.m.-3:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>Hilton Suites Anaheim 400 N State College Boulevard Orange, CA 92868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Central Valley</td>
<td>Tuesday March 8, 2011 9:30 a.m.-3:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>Hilton Sacramento Arden West 2200 Harvard Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inland Empire</td>
<td>Wednesday March 16, 2011 9:30 a.m.-3:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>Double Tree Ontario 222 North Vineyard Avenue Ontario, CA 91764</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regional Focus Groups continued on page 5
Proposed Agenda

- 9:30 a.m.-10:00 a.m.—Event Registration and Table Assignments
- 10:00 a.m.-10:05 a.m.—Welcome and Introductory Remarks
- 10:05 a.m.-10:20 a.m.—Overview of the Health Workforce Development Council and California’s Planning Grant
- 10:20 a.m.-10:30 a.m.—Overview of Focus Group Process and Ground Rules
- 10:30 a.m.-11:30 a.m.—Breakout Discussion: Focus Group Questions 1-3
  Each table will be given a specific question to address
- 11:30 a.m.-12:00 p.m.—Focus Group Table Report Outs
- 12:00 p.m.-12:45 p.m.—Lunch
- 12:45 p.m.-2:00 p.m.—Breakout Discussion: Focus Group Questions 4-6
  Each table will be given a specific question to address
- 2:00 p.m.-2:50 p.m.—Focus Group Table Report Outs
- 2:50 p.m.-3:00 p.m.—Closing Remarks

Regional Focus Group Questions

Participants will be asked to provide their responses to the following questions. As they consider their responses, we are asking that, to the degree possible, they identify what actions the State could take to remove barriers, facilitate resolution to issues identified or consider other action that would ease transition to a new health care delivery model consistent with the ACA.

- What is the most significant health workforce development challenge in this region? What are the biggest challenges that are unique to your region?
- What resources are currently being invested or utilized in the region to recruit, educate, train or retain the health workforce (see sample matrix) and strengthen partnerships? Where is additional investment needed? How do you work with local workforce investment boards and one-stop career centers? Describe Federal, State, and Local policy changes that could be implemented that would aid in the recruitment, education, training, or retaining of the health workforce.
- What successful models of health professions education and training currently exist to supply the health workers necessary to improve health care in the region? What types of new models will be needed to meet the impact of ACA? Describe Federal, State, and Local policy changes that could be implemented that could facilitate new and successful models.
- What best practices and models exist to increase workforce diversity and to ensure that patients have access to care provided in a culturally and linguistically appropriate manner? What else is needed? Describe Federal, State, and Local policy changes that could be implemented to increase workforce diversity and to ensure that patients have access to care provided in a culturally and linguistically appropriate manner.
- What partnerships are you involved in or do you believe will be necessary at the state and regional level to meet the health workforce needs of this region? What actions are necessary to strengthen existing partnerships and/or form new partnerships?
Public Records Request:
There were 77 public record requests from November 2010 through January 21, 2011. In January, a TV station in Sacramento requested information about any promotional items purchased. The BRN has purchased none. There will probably be a story soon.

Bill Steiger, Director of Consumer Affairs
Bill updated the Board on the staff of the Department of Consumer Help-Desk and also discussed the Board that currently has no agency secretary or deputy secretary on staff. He addressed the hiring freeze. He stated the hiring freeze stopped when Governor Brown came into office. The Department is choosing to continue its hiring freeze to give the Brown Administration a chance to understand the issues that affect BRN board. He stated they are going to go through an exemption process. They have over 600 vacancies throughout the DCA. Unfortunately this does not resolve the fact that the board does not have the ability to fulfill their responsibility to protect the public.

Administrative Committee
A discussion occurred regarding the BRN going paperless and using computers with jump drives or discs to receive the information for the hearings. The Executive Officer Louise Bailey will do some research with other state nursing boards on the implementation process.

Legislative Committee
AB 30 Hayashi Violence in Hospitals
The AB 30 bill deals with safety issues in the workplace. The bill requires a safety health plan and the nurse to be treated if involved in an incident. The bill states a hospital cannot take retaliatory action against a nurse if she seeks emergency help and/or calls the law enforcement. The bill requires a reporting process to DHS within 48 hours of the incident. It establishes a reporting process to the legislature concerning violence in hospitals. The BRN voted to support the bill.

AB 40 Navato Elder Abuse Reporting
The BRN moved to take a watch position.

SB 65 Strickland Prescriptive Pancreatic Enzymes
The SB 65 bill is accepted into the diversion program and is successful through an exemption process. They have over 600 vacancies throughout the DCA. Unfortunately this does not resolve the fact that the board does not have the ability to fulfill their responsibility to protect the public.

Enforcement Regulation:
The enforcement regulations are posted on the BRN website. A public hearing is scheduled for March 3, 2011 at 10:00a.m. Written testimony is just as important as attending the hearing. Please look at the regulations.

APRN Survey Update:
The BRN is working with the UCSF Center for Health Professions to complete a survey of Nurse Practitioners, Nurse Mid-Midwives, and Clinical Nurse Specialists. The survey was sent to 2,250 NP's and Nurse Mid-Wives, and 750 CNS's. The response rate was 60% from NP's and CNM's and 70% from CNS's. The final report should be done by June 2011.

Omnibus Bill
BRN submitted Section 2786.5 to delete the word experienced with prior deployment in the United States and remove the phrase “members of the armed forces.” The BRN reviews education not experience so the word does not apply.

Section 2770.7 This section establishes criteria concerning a registered nurse for acceptance, denial or termination of registered nurses in program. The BRN added “unless the nurse is accepted into the diversion program and is successful in the program.”

Section 2780(b) Approval of Schools
Changes encourage to require all schools to provide clinical instruction in all phases of the educational instruction.

In sunset review they are considering to have the board approve the program and the right to confer the degree so this is being held at this time….

Section 2836.2 This section corrects an error that sites a nonexistent code section. It was a typing error. This one is accepted.

Experts
Language is being prepared for all boards to exempt the experts from the contract process. The Medical Board proposed the language but DCA is proposing it for all health care boards.

The BRN voted to watch.
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Diversity Discipline Committee
Nurse Discipline Data Comparison (Scrubs) Update
262 Cases have been referred to the Attorney General 215 Pleadings have been received 118 Notices of Defense received 47 Referred to Site and Fine 450 Closed without action (Action taken in CA but not in Nurzys database)
Cost of clothes so far is 293,210.00 (average 58,642.00 a month)

Internet Disclosure Policy
There was a discussion by the board about whether there should be a policy to remove discipline information from the website after a certain length of time. The medical board removes discipline information after 10 years.

Implementation of Uniform Standards relating to Substance Abuse and Disciplinary Guidelines
The attorney proposed that the BRN promulgate regulations as described by the DCA department related to the objection from public testimony at the committee hearings concerning the frequency of mandatory drug testing. The testimony at the committee level was concerned that the mandatory drug testing requirement would end our probation and diversion program. The individual participant must pay for their testing. At a cost of 600 to 1000 dollars per test, the mandatory testing proposed would end the ability of many nurses to afford the program. Additionally, there would be no random testing because the testing was so frequent.

BRN Administrative Meeting continued on page 7
The attorney proposed a compromise submitting two sets of language so the BRN can submit regulatory language before the sunset hearing. ANAC expressed concern about the testimony given at numerous Diversion/Discipline Committees was not being included in the proposed language. ANAC is strongly supportive of the Board’s wish to implement regulations that work for nurses and was assured by the Department and the Board that language would be developed to address the concerns expressed.

**Education Committee**

A summary of the 2009-2010 Education Survey was shared.
The Board approved all the minor and major curriculum changes.
The Board approved having informational hearing on accreditation of nursing programs. There will probably be four of them held throughout the state.

There was a report about the problems the NEC’s are encountering with applicants for licensure. They found a number of programs that state they are granting a nursing degree in another country but the student never goes to that country even though it states they took clinical there. There are problems with the equivalencies these schools are accepting and the looseness in evaluating the prerequisites.

The following is a summary of issues with Out of Country Applicants that take a lot of the BRN staff time.

**Issues:**
The International Analysts have seen an increase in the number of fraudulent documents. These documents include transcripts allegedly being sent from nursing schools and copies of registered nursing licenses sent by applicants. While the number of applications has decreased, the time needed to analyze the applications and supporting documents has increased.
The BRN is concerned with online nursing programs that offer degrees based on life and work experience. The applicant can earn a degree in as little as seven (7) days. The websites state that there is no attendance required, no course materials, no examinations, and no waiting to get into the program.

**Out of Country Applicants:**

**Issues:**
While the Licensing Program has experienced a decrease in the number of applications for applicants educated out of the country, the level of difficulty in analyzing these applications has increased.
The Licensing Analysts review documents from nursing programs all over the world. While the documents differ in format from each country, there are consistencies within each country. The following is a sample of some of the difficulties the Licensing Analysts deal with on a daily basis.

**Philippines**
There are “blended” programs where applicants complete the theoretical portion of the program online and then travel to the Philippines to complete the clinical portion. Theoretical course work and clinical practice are not taken concurrently, as required by California Code of Regulations section 14266(d).

Questionable nursing licenses have been received. The staff accesses the Professional Regulations Commission (PRC) in the Philippines to determine if the applicant took the nursing examination. The BRN also receives information from the PRC as to the latest license number issued and can determine if the license received begins with a number that has not been issued. Questionable transcripts have been received that look similar to those from a traditional four-year program. Staff corresponds with nursing programs to verify if the applicant attended the specific program and received a degree.

**Haiti**
Documents received from the nursing schools are questionable. The Licensing Analysts have received curricula from three different schools; however, all course descriptions, student’s grades and the number of theoretical and clinical practice hours are identical, no matter when the student attended the program. The BRN cannot obtain official documentation from the Ministry of Public Health and Population to verify approved nursing schools in the country.

**Ethiopia**
Until recently, staff was not aware of different levels of nursing programs in Ethiopia. These programs are Junior Clinical Nurse or Clinical Nurse which is an occupational title for a lower level nurse. The staff is attempting to obtain clarification of these programs from the Ministry.

**Nigeria**
Within the last year, the Board has received diplomas from nurses educated in Nigeria. Up until that time, the Board only received licenses from nurses. The BRN is attempting to obtain clarification from the Ministry in Nigeria as to why it is no longer receiving licenses.

**Russia and former Republics**
There are questions regarding the programs in general. Transcripts are not presented in semesters or quarters per year. When a program curriculum is requested, the documents received do not provide detailed descriptions of the course work. Applicants must complete State Examinations at the end of the program. The BRN received confirmation from the Ministry of Health that Obstetrics is not a State Examination required for nursing students. However, no matter when a program completed the nursing program, this is one of the State Examinations each graduate has completed.

**China**
There are concerns regarding theoretical and clinical practice. In some programs, the student completes the majority of their clinical practice in the third year, with only minimal clinical training in the first two years. This is a concurrency issue.
The National Council of State Boards of Nursing APRN Summit

Dianne Moore
Elissa Brown

The National Council of State Boards of Nursing (NCSBN) held an APRN Summit on January 12 and 13, 2011. The agenda was as follows:

1/12/11
Understanding the APRN Consensus Model—Ann O’Sullivan
Future of Nursing IOM Call to Action—Catherine Dower
Scope of Practice- Toolkit for Consumers—David Swanick
Building a Coalition of Support/Plan of Action—Maryann Alexander
Passing Legislation: An Insider’s View—Melvin Neufeld
A Lobbyist Perspective—Sue Clark
LACE Update—Jean Stanley

1/13/11
APRN Consensus Model for Regulation and Health Reform—Peggy Welch
APRN Legislative Success Panel—Charlotte Beason, Mathew Bishop, Emmaline Woodson, Shirley Devaris
Moving Forward: Challenges and Opportunities—Kathryn Busby, Gregory Harris, Elaine Germano, Kelly Goudreau, Tay Kopanos, John Preston, Susan Reinhart
Campaign Resources—Stephanie Fullmer
Closing remarks—Ann O’Sullivan

Please see: [https://www.ncsbn.org/2383.htm](https://www.ncsbn.org/2383.htm) —video which describes the NCSBN position on the Consensus model for Advanced Practice Registered Nursing (APRN).

On the Q drive under the folder for MSN DNP there is a subfolder entitled NCSBN Consensus model. In that folder there are a number of different resources that discuss the legislation, accreditation, certification and education for the advanced practice nurse. These regulatory items are running parallel to the AACN DNP Essentials and complement each other.

Basically the model of the pyramid for the educational model is exactly what is being subscribed to on all fronts. The 3 P’s—physiology, pharmacology and physical assessment are all required for the APRN role.

The simplicity of the course descriptions as provided in the curriculum model offered by NONPF (National Organization of Nurse Practitioner Faculty) was requested by NCSBN so transcripts from one school to the next or for certification and licensing are easy for the student and group that are reviewing transcripts to understand. WCU’s curriculum model follows all those recommendations.

The presentation on the IOM Future of Nursing report focused on using the NCSBN consensus model to change state regulations to allow RN’s and APRN’s to function at their full capacity. The IOM intends to be aggressive in pushing for change and one of the 5 states being specifically targeted for legislative change is California. There are state and national committees being formed to address the strategies for the change. The NCSBN provided tool kits to help with planning and implementing these changes (see website and our Q drive). There were two ANAC BOD members at the meeting (Elissa Brown—ANAC President and Dianne Moore the ANAC Director of Education) and other ANAC members, including LuAnn Sanderson, Secretary, CAPNA/P, 1 BRN Board member and the EO and the NEC from the BRN also present. They were generally supportive of the model, with some concerns voiced.

The other presenters were legislators, lobbyists, consumer groups and examples of states and other Boards of Nursing that had been successful in changing the APRN scope of practice laws. The NCSBN has developed a toolkit to help states, organizations, students and others to be one strong voice in helping change occur. Please see the documents located on the Q drive MSN DNP folder subfolder NCSBN Consensus model for more details, the video and the PowerPoint.

The other change that many APRN will have to adjust to is the population foci that will be certified and licensed. As with the pyramid model the base is the 3 P’s, then the other courses related to the advanced role as is evidenced in the MSN and DNP AACN Essentials, then the 4 APRN roles of CRNA, CNM, CNP and CNS. The population foci will be the Family/Individual across the lifespan, adult gerontology, women’s health/gender roles, neonatal, pediatrics, psych/mental health. Examples of the subspecialties would be: Oncology, Older Adults, Orthopedics, Nephrology, Palliative Care or others like the perioperative. The license will be based on the 4 APRN roles and 6 population foci such as family, mental health, adult etc. The WCU curriculum is set up to address the students learning in just such a pathway for the programs accreditation, the student’s certification and licensure (LACE).

There are some concerns that were voiced by the Clinical Nurse Specialist group, in re to maintaining the specialty essence of the CNS role. There was a report that 2 psychiatric nursing organizations had made some controversial proposals about the future of the Psychiatry/Mental Health CNS.

There were two specialty areas that do not fit the neat definition or current language for the APRN, namely the CNM and the CRNA. For the CNM there is a complicated history including that midwifery is a separate profession from nursing as is recognized by the rest of the world (the US version of only having a CNM is the outlier and that is changing with the advent of the CM (Certified Midwife) and CPN (Certified Practicing Midwife). The other is the CRNA partly because of the fact that of the 3000 students produced each year, 42% of the 110 schools are not in nursing programs so both the CRNA and the CNM asked that the wording regarding the educational pathways be carefully considered so they are not excluded.
Chicago—The National Council of State Boards of Nursing (NCSBN®) (www.ncsbn.org) announces the launch of an innovative, multi-state study to evaluate safety and quality outcomes in nurse transition to practice programs. In addition, the study will determine how well the preceptor training module prepares preceptors for their role; identify the challenges and potential solutions of implementing the NCSBN transition model; and determine cost/benefit analysis.

NCSBN has brought together a research advisory panel of nationally renowned experts with extensive backgrounds and experiences studying new nurses and entry into practice. The panel was convened to assist NCSBN in the planning of the study and will continue to share their expertise throughout the implementation and analysis of the study.

During Phase I, the NCSBN Transition to Practice Study will follow newly licensed registered nurses (RNs) hired to work in hospital settings in Illinois, Ohio and North Carolina during their first year of employment. Phase II will include newly hired RNs who work in settings other than hospitals and licensed practical/vocational nurses (LPNs/VNs) who work in all health care settings. Throughout the year the newly hired nurses will participate in interactive, online transition to practice modules, work one-on-one with a preceptor and receive institutional support from their hospital.

“NCSBN has been working on transition to practice for more than 10 years,” said Maryann Alexander, PhD, RN, chief officer, Nursing Regulation, NCSBN. “Evidence shows that transition to practice programs protect patients and the public, which is the very mission of state boards of nursing and NCSBN. We are excited to embark on this study and the data collected will have a great impact on the future of nursing after initial licensure.”

This study is unique in two ways. First, it is the only transition study where sites will be randomly assigned to either a standardized transition to practice model or to a control group. The use of a control group will allow NCSBN to statistically analyze differences between study and control groups. Secondly, this study is the first to analyze actual patient outcomes in programs that transition new nurses to practice. Other studies of transition programs have looked at retention rates, new nurse satisfaction, preceptor satisfaction, and nurse’s perceptions of competence and confidence, but they haven’t examined actual patient outcomes. Since NCSBN’s transition to practice program is a regulatory model, it is essential to evaluate patient outcomes.

Each site in Illinois, Ohio and North Carolina will be randomized to either the study group or the control group. The control group will use its usual practice of transitioning new nurses to practice. The study group will participate in NCSBN’s Transition to Practice Model. Newly licensed nurses in this group will successfully complete five interactive, online modules within their first three months of employment. The modules include patient-centered care, communication and teamwork, evidence-based practice, quality improvement, and informatics. These modules are designed to integrate experiential and active learning, and will not incorporate relearning of content that the new nurses have already learned in their nursing programs.

In addition to the modules, newly hired nurses will be given a preceptor to work with during their first six months of employment. The preceptor will be required to complete NCSBN’s online preceptor training module. During the final six months of their involvement in the study, the newly hired nurses will receive institutional support. This may include being invited to serve on committees that look at the root cause of an error or creating procedures that allow the new nurse to be given continuous feedback and evaluation. By providing institutional support, nurses are encouraged to reflect upon the care they have provided and to suggest quality improvements.

Site coordinators at each hospital will submit data electronically to study researchers at NCSBN. The data collected will measure actual patient outcomes such as infection rates, patient falls, patient satisfaction, as well as new nurse competencies, job satisfaction and job stress, to name a few. Once Phases I and II of the study have concluded, statisticians will compare safety and quality outcomes of the control group with those of the study group.

The final report of the NCSBN Transition to Practice Study will be reviewed by the NCSBN Board of Directors (BOD) in May 2014. Based on the results, the NCSBN BOD may recommend adoption of the NCSBN Transition to Practice Model to the NCSBN Delegate Assembly. The National Council of State Boards of Nursing (NCSBN) is a not-for-profit organization whose members include the boards of nursing in the 50 states, the District of Columbia and four U.S. territories—American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands and the Virgin Islands. There are also seven associate members.

Mission: NCSBN provides education, service and research through collaborative leadership to promote regulatory excellence for patient safety and public protection.

The statements and opinions expressed are those of NCSBN and not the individual member state or territorial boards of nursing.
Congratulations to Illinois, North Carolina and Ohio! These states have been selected to participate in NCSBN’s groundbreaking study of a standardized transition to practice program for newly licensed nurses. Michele Bromberg, Nursing Coordinator of the Illinois Board of Nursing says, “Illinois has been exploring the need for transition programs, so we are excited to be one of three states selected to participate in NCSBN’s landmark transition to practice study.”

These three states mounted enormous statewide efforts to identify 75 hospitals for phase I of the study. Phase II will include long-term care, community, and home health sites. This is the first study of a transition program to compare patient outcomes in sites that use a standardized transition model to those that don’t.

NCSBN is in the process of hiring a state coordinator for each of these states. A kick-off meeting for the study is being planned for April 27-28, 2011, in Chicago for the state and site coordinators. Stay tuned for more information on this multi-site study!
Association of California Nurse Leaders (ACNL) Hold Annual Meeting

ACNL held their 33rd annual meeting February 13-16, 2011 at the Sacramento California Convention Center. Board Member Monica Weisbrich, RN attended the convention with webmaster and member, Suzanne, Ward, RN. The theme for the year’s conference was California Dreamin’. The President’s Reception, A California Vintage Family Affair, held Sunday evening honored B.J. Bartleson, ACNL President. Accolades were presented by Family (husband and children), work Family (The Shermars Hospital for Children NoCal family), the CHA Family (Dored Harms—ACNL liaison), AONE Family (Richard Brock, ACNL past President) and the Stryker Family (Jim Wilcon, Regional Manager). Then the networking began.

KEYNOTE SPEAKER—SUNRISE OVER THE SIERRA
Selina Mitchell, RN was the keynote speaker who presented an over the top opening for the Conference. The message sent to and received by the attendees was *How are you doing?* Mitchell re-energized the attendees and opened our eyes to the difference we make in the lives of others.

GENERAL SESSION SCALING EL CAPITAN
Jayne Felgen, MPA, RN, author of I2E2 Leading Lasting Change, shared her in-depth, yet simple formula for inspiring and leading real change at all levels of any organization. The five primary components are Vision, Inspiration, Infrastructure, Education and Evidience.

GENERAL SESSION CASH CROP
Andre Delbecq, DBA, Santa Clara University states managing change is the primary organizational challenge facing health systems today. We are asked to improve quality at all points. Innovation will be required to deal with the increased pace of change facing health system today. Innovation barriers indentified are:
- Certainty about the future—There is no success story associated with centralization.
- Vested interest in present programs
- Inadequate slack resources

The way for innovation to work/advances is *I’ve got a hunch.* I’d like to try.

ISSUES FORUM—NEWS FROM TELEGRAPH HILL
Three presenters—Pat McFarland, RN, Dorel Harms, RN, and Louise Bailey, RN presented status reports for the ACNL, CHA and BRN. Major issues discussed:
- Institute of Medicine (IOM) eight recommendations and the formation of the Regional Action Coalition (RAC)
- CA budget—$26.5 billion deficit. Major contributors to the deficit are lower income because of lack of housing starts/foreclosures and unemployment. Both impact tax bases in the State
- Budget assumptions—CA budget passed by 3/30/11.

The Federal budget approved on time, necessary cuts implemented by 10/1/11.
- Percentage of cuts to Medical/DPSNF, physicians, pharmacy (drugs), Medical Clinics, Home Health and Medical transport were reported. As I write this report for ANAC (2/1/11) the proposed cuts have either changed or deleted. This is a wait and see issue.
- Bottom line—Which ever way the cuts go, patient mix will decide the impact on individual hospitals/health systems.
- Legislation—the beginning of a two (2) year cycle begins.
- CNA Wish List (single payer)
- Consumer Unions Wish List (transparency for peer review)
- MICRA—(pain and suffering cap of 250K)
- Privacy—legislators want more privacy
- Interpreters—re-introducing a bill already in regulation requiring translator for the major languages in every hospital
- Pharmaceutical Repackaging
- Hospital Accreditation—may be accredited by other accredting bodies rather than JCAHO.
- BRN—Bailey presented an extensive review of the services/activities offered by the BRN including education, practice, legislative and diversity. Stats from each committee were shared

GENERAL SESSION RIDING THE WAVES OF CHANGE
Dan Gross, DNSc, RN Executive Vice President of Sharp Health Care shared the latest developments and analysis of the Healthcare Reform (HCR) as it moves forward in the implementation phases. Throughout the process Gross emphasized if you are not at the table, you are on the menu.

The theme of HCR is:
- More people will be insured and access to care increased with lower reimbursement.
- The cost of care will be lower but the care will be excellent.
- There will be a move from fee for service to pay for outcomes.
- Cost shifting from Medicare/Medical no longer will be accepted by insurers.
- Beat the Cost Curve
- Form Accountable Care Organizations (ACO) [a lawyer/consultant’s dream come true]—increase prevention thus lowering cost.
- ACO is really managed care (nomenclature is unacceptable to the general public).
- Two types of ACO’s—senior and commercial (not in place yet)
- Center for Medicare/Medical Innovation (CMMI) will be formed.

- Care Continuation Systems—healthcare systems will partner with community clinics
- Bundling of Medicare Part A and B for ortho and cardiac
- Value-base Purchasing—there is enough date to identify 17 processes measure.
- Largest cuts to be implemented to pay for increased costs for Medical population increases will be:
  - Lower Medicare payments
  - Increase taxes from those earning more than 250K/year
  - Increase taxes for:
    - Commercial insurance companies (Blue Cross/Aetna, etc.)
  - Drug manufacturers
  - Medical Devices (e.g. implants).

GENERAL SESSION NEW FRONTIER
Heather Young, PhD, RN, FAAN, Casey Shillman, PhD, RN and Debbie Ward, PhD, RN, FAAN formed a panel to discuss the IOM history, the RAC implementation process which was then followed by open discussions.

Heather Young reviewed the history of the IOM study, described the process the IOM used to arrive at the 8 nursing recommendations (a process funded by the RWJ Foundation and AARP).

Casey Shillman discussed the purpose of the RAC—To engage diverse stakeholders in the Initiative for the Future of Nursing (IFN) and build visibility within the community at large. The message to be carried is improving health systems and the health of our communities through nursing.

GENERAL SESSION CALIFORNIA MISSIONS
Barbara Bates-Jensen, PhD, RN described the challenges and triumphs in providing disaster relief in Haiti. Bates-Jensen’s area of expertise is wound care. Experts in this field were specifically requested. Disasters of this nature call for crises-management and altered standards of care requiring decision-making skills not used in daily operations.

POSTER SESSIONS—There were 14 poster sessions provided for viewing on Tuesday.

FOOTSTEPS OF FLORENCE NIGHTINGALE
A presentation by four ACNL members trek to England to celebrate Florence Nightingale’s legacy and track key events in her life was very moving and validated one’s love of the profession. This event was a fundraiser for Flo’s Cookie Jar. Flo’s Cookie Jar is a program that provides emergency funds for nursing students in financial crisis. This funds pays the rent, fixes a car, or helps in other emergencies.

The 34th Annual ACNL Conference February 5-8, 2012 Westin Hotel and Spa Mission Hills Rancho Mirage, CA
Myrna Allen, MSN, RN

I left for a medical mission trip to India with the Smile Train Foundation from December 3-16th. Our group consisted of an Anesthesiologist (Scottsdale, AZ), a Surgeon (Pittsburgh, PA), a retired nurse who coordinated the trip and her wife (San Diego, CA), and me (Sacramento, CA) as the peri-operative nurse. The mission site was on the eastern shore of India, near the Bay of Bengal, near the rural villages of Yamuguvanianka or Narsapur or Antervedi, in the rural state of Andra Pradesh. India is comprised of forty (40) plus “state” territories.

The mission started at the first hospital in the Bay of Bengal, near the rural villages of Yamuguvanianka or Narsapur or Antervedi, in the rural state of Andra Pradesh. India is comprised of forty (40) plus “state” territories.

The Registered Nurses from India who helped our group were as follows:
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Our one day off we went to the two hour church service on Sunday that included the boarded school children of the mission (girls on one side/boys on the other). Each child who is boarded must come with his/her own mattress and two sets of clothes including shoes (no flip-flops). English is taught and the kids were really cute. The school boards about 50 kids but teach about 200 up thru the 10th grade. Then later we were taken into the actual village which was about one mile away. It was obvious that we were the “pale faces.” Our clothing alone stood out amongst all of the saris and the men in their toga like pants. Many people live in their shops and the open market included meat, fruit, vegetables, fish, etc. plus flies were present everywhere. Our cook who was also our chaperone/house mother led us to the different areas in the village. We found the sari store (no bigger than our office bathroom) and the material was beautiful. For $18 you could find six yards of silk and/or cotton in all colors with all kinds of beading on it. I did buy a sari and I think I remember how to wrap it on (with four safety pins for good measure). However, in Hyderabad on the way home, we had time between flights (8 hours) to go into the bazaar and we saw outstanding silk material for saris and jeweled shoes to go with them. However, in the bazaar, we required a security guide and it was needed for our protection (beggars) and the mob of people. Everything was strictly cash transactions with 42 rupees to the dollar. However, everyone negotiated the price and it was expected by us to do so.

It was a good trip overall. We worked hard, our group got along very well with true partnership between us, and we did a lot of good work for these people. These surgeries will make a difference in these patients’ future lives and well being to have these defects repaired. Plus, it was also the hope of the mission that our success will help them recruit physicians and nurses to go forward into the future and keep the hospital open. The physical plant (now that it is working) and the layout for patient flow is excellent. However, since these people could not pay, I’m doubtful that without subsidies of some kind, that will not happen. Their outside hope is for future medical team volunteers to come to help them.

California Nursing Students’ Association Presents:

You’re HIRED!
A Nurse’s Guide To Success
In Today’s Job Market

A must for nursing students, new graduates and experienced RNs competing for jobs in today’s daunting health care market.

Key topics include:
◆ What employers are looking for in job candidates
◆ Strategies for finding open positions
◆ Creating effective resumes and cover letters
◆ Job interview skills and techniques
◆ Sample interview questions
And much, much more...

“How fortunate you are to have a resource book to help you in this challenging job market. Each chapter gives you real life situations and helpful tips for every aspect of your job search.”

Mary Foley, PhD, RN
Past President, American Nurses Association
Associate Director, Center for Nursing Research and Innovation
University of California, San Francisco

Order You’re HIRED at www.cnisa.org
Members: $25.00
Non-Members: $35.00

I have enclosed my check payable to ANAC in the amount of $25.00 ❑ $35.00 ❑ or:
Please charge to my Credit Card no. ________ Exp. ______

*Please check for program details and updates at: www.anacalifornia.org
Help us stay in touch:

Do you have a new address or e-mail address?

You can help American Nurses Association/California ‘stay in touch’ by updating your contact information. Call ANAC at 916-447-0225, e-mail us a anac@anacalifornia.org or return this form to:

The ‘Nursing Voice’

c/o ANAC

1121 L Street, Suite 409

Sacramento, CA 95814

ANA/C Member Identification No. (if applicable)

____________________________ ______________________

Name: ______________________ New Address: ______________________

____________________________ ______________________

____________________________ ______________________

Old Address: ______________________ New E-mail Address: ______________________

Mail with payment to:

American Nurses Association/California

1121 L Street, Suite 409

Sacramento, CA 95814

Signature for EDM Authorization

*** This is not to update your license information with the Board of Registered Nursing. Go to www.rn.ca.gov

Mail this form and payment to:

American Nurses Association/California

1121 L Street, Suite 409

Sacramento, CA 95814

SNA/DNA for appropriate expense. Please check with your SNA for the correct amount. State nurses association dues are not deductible as a business expense. However, that percentage of dues used for lobbying by the SNA is not deductible as a business expense. Please check with your SNA for the correct amount.

Note:

$7.50 of the SNA member dues is for subscription to The American Nurse. A percentage of your dues may or may not be applied to an SNA/DNA subscription. State nurses association dues are not deductible as charitable contributions for tax purposes, but may be deductible as a business expense. However, that percentage of dues used for lobbying by the SNA is not deductible as a business expense. Please check with your SNA for the correct amount.